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THE U.S. GUN VIOLENCE CRISIS

1. The Whitney R. Harris World Law Institute at Washington University School of Law and Washington University’s Institute for Public Health welcome the opportunity to submit information to the U.N. Human Rights Council Universal Periodic Review Working Group in relation to the third Universal Periodic Review (UPR) of the United States of America. The Harris Institute was founded in 2000 and has been conducting research on gun violence in the United States and human rights law since fall 2017. The Institute for Public Health was founded in 2008 and since 2015 has operated the Gun Violence Initiative to develop actionable measures that can lead to a reduction of premature deaths and injuries.

 SUMMARY

2. U.S. gun violence has reached crisis proportions. In 2017, 173,668 individuals in the United States were shot; 39,773 died. Every day, an average of nearly 109 people died from guns, and another 366 suffered non-fatal firearm injuries. This is a complex problem that includes homicides, suicides, accidental deaths, and frequent mass and school shootings. Youth, women, and individuals of color are disproportionately affected. This violence creates negative psychological stress and mental harm, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression, for direct and indirect victims, has destructive developmental consequences for children, and has fostered a general climate of fear that interferes with the enjoyment of fundamental human rights.

3. While the percentage of the U.S. population owning guns has decreased in recent years, the number of civilian firearms in the United States has grown. The United States has an estimated 120:100 ratio of guns to people. Americans own nearly 46% of the world’s civilian-owned guns, but comprise only 4.3% of its population. The presence of a gun in the home greatly increases the risk of death by suicide, homicide, and accidental death. Based on 2015 data, the United States has, per 100,000 persons, nearly twelve times as many gun deaths as Australia, eleven times as many as Germany, eight times as many as Israel, and twenty times as many as Spain.

4. This submission concludes with seven recommendations for the United States government to reduce gun violence and the human rights abuses associated with it. These recommendations are generally supported by Americans. For example, 80% of Americans support prohibiting gun ownership for ten years if a person is convicted of violating a domestic violence restraining order, nearly 89% support requiring a background check system for all gun sales, and 67% support requiring owners to lock their guns up in the home when not in use.

 LEGAL FRAMEWORK

5. The U.S. gun violence crisis interferes with the enjoyment of fundamental human rights contained in customary international law and in treaties signed and/or ratified by the United States. These rights include the right to life, the right to security of person, the right to be free from torture and ill-treatment, the right to health, the right to an education, the right to freedom of association and peaceful assembly, the right to freedom of expression, opinion, and belief, the right to freedom of religion, the right to freedom from discrimination on the basis of race or sex, the right to a standard of living adequate for health and well-being, and the right to participate in the cultural life of the community. It also interferes with the special protection afforded to children under international law.
6. The U.S. government is obliged to protect its population. ICCPR Article 2.2 calls on States Parties “to adopt such laws or other measures as may be necessary to give effect to the rights recognized in the present Covenant” and Article 2 of the Convention against Torture obligates States Parties to take “effective legislative, administrative, judicial or other measures” to prevent torture and ill-treatment. Likewise, Article 2.2 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination calls on States Parties to adopt “special and concrete measures” to ensure that vulnerable racial groups and individuals have “the full and equal enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms.”

7. Although more than 98% of U.S. shootings are carried out by private actors, the U.S. government may still be held responsible for the harm and human rights violations which follow. A State’s responsibility to prevent harm caused by private actors has been repeatedly referenced by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights,12 the Human Rights Committee,13 the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination,14 the Committee against Torture,15 and human rights courts.16

8. A State’s responsibility is engaged when the State knows or ought to know that a person is at risk or that violations have occurred and it fails to take reasonable measures to prevent or suppress the violations.17 As the Human Rights Committee has explained, the State’s obligation to protect is especially engaged when faced with “patterns of violence against categories of victims such as . . . violence against women . . . .”18 States also have a special responsibility to prevent abuses “in all contexts of custody or control, for example, in . . . schools. . . .”19

9. The Human Rights Committee has called upon States Parties to “take appropriate measures to address the general conditions in society that may give rise to direct threats to life or prevent individuals from enjoying their right to life with dignity. These general conditions may include high levels of . . . gun violence.”20 It has further said that States should “reduce the proliferation of potentially lethal weapons to unauthorized individuals”21 and “protect their populations . . . against the risks posed by excessive availability of firearms.”22

**RELEVANT UPR RECOMMENDATIONS**

10. During the first cycle in 2010, States recommended that the United States “[h]alt immediately the unjustified arms race,”ii which the United States did not support, and “effectively combat violence against women and gun violence,”i which the United States supported.

11. In the second cycle in 2015, five directly related recommendations were made. The United States supported three without reservation: (1) to “[r]atify the Arms Trade Treaty;”iii (2) to “eliminate gun violence;”iv and to (3) “[t]ake necessary measures to reduce gun violence.”v It also supported, in part, two others: (1) “adopt legislation expanding the verification of personal backgrounds for all acquisitions of firearms;”vi and (2) “consider the adoption of legislation to enhance the verification of the records for all fire arms transfers and the revision of the laws that stipulate self-defence without limitations.”vii None of these recommendations have been implemented.

---

i Recommendation 141, from Nicaragua.
ii Recommendation 167, from the Islamic Republic of Iran.
iii Recommendation 62, from Trinidad and Tobago.
iv Recommendation 231, from Azerbaijan.
v Recommendation 232, from Iceland. The U.S. government responded that it “strongly support[s] expanding the number of firearms transfers that are subject to background checks but with limited, common-sense exceptions.”
vi Recommendation 230, from Ecuador.
vii Recommendation 233, from Peru.
12. Additional recommendations were made during the second UPR on issues intersecting with gun violence, including 34 on racial discrimination, viii 20 on police violence, ix two on the right to an adequate education, x one on domestic violence, xi and one calling on the United States to tackle issues of public safety. xii

DEVELOPMENTS SINCE THE LAST UPR

13. In 2016, Donald J. Trump became the 45th president of the United States. He is deeply connected to the National Rifle Association (NRA) – a lobbying group that strictly opposes gun control – and has delivered a speech at its national conference five years in a row.

14. The NRA spent more than $55 million in the 2016 federal elections 23 and over the past two years has spent a record $9.6 million lobbying lawmakers and federal agencies. 24

15. In February 2017, President Trump signed H.J. Res. 40 into law, repealing the Implementation of the NICS Improvement Amendments Act of 2007, which required more stringent background checks for gun purchases by people with severe mental illness.

16. In December 2017, a “Concealed Carry Reciprocity Act,” 25 passed the House of Representatives. It would require concealed carry permits issued in one state to be honored by all states, forcing even those states and cities with stronger laws or which have banned concealed carry to honor permits from states with weak or non-existent laws.

17. The February 2018 Parkland shooting prompted some state legislatures and municipalities to adopt gun control measures. In the 17 months since that shooting, over 110 gun safety bills have been adopted in 32 states and the District of Columbia, 26 including laws designed to keep guns out of the hands of domestic abusers in thirteen states and extreme risk laws (also known as red flag bills) in twelve states and D.C., which allow courts to issue extreme risk protection orders (ERPO) temporarily preventing individuals who exhibit signs that they pose a danger to themselves or others from accessing firearms.

18. Perhaps as a response to Parkland, in March 2018, President Trump signed Pub.L.115-141, known as the 'Fix NICS Act,' which penalizes government agencies for not reporting criminal convictions to the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS).

19. Likewise, in December 2018 the U.S. Justice Department issued a new rule applying existing prohibitions against fully automatic weapons to bump stocks, 27 effectively banning these devices.

20. However, President Trump’s primary suggestion to address mass school shootings has been to increase armed guards at schools and to arm teachers. 28 In August 2018, Secretary of Education Betsy DeVos suggested that schools could use federal education funds for firearms, reversing a longstanding federal practice. 29

21. In February 2019, new rules 30 from the Trump Administration went into effect, 31 easing export regulations for U.S. gun manufactures. This could enable criminal organizations, human rights abusers, and other dangerous persons to more easily acquire U.S. guns, particularly in Latin America, the Caribbean, and Mexico. 32
22. In February 2019, the House of Representatives passed two gun control measures. The Bipartisan Background Checks Act of 2019 would help close the private-sale background check loophole (see para. 26 below). The Enhanced Background Checks Act of 2019 would give the FBI more time to conduct background checks, as opposed to the current process which automatically allows a firearm sale to proceed if the check is not completed within three days. The Senate has refused to call a vote on these bills. If they pass, President Trump has signaled his intention to veto them.

23. In April 2019, President Trump “un-signed” the U.N. Arms Trade Treaty (ATT) and said the United States “will never ratify” it.

AN OVERVIEW OF U.S. FIREARM LAWS

Constitutional Law

24. For over 200 years, the Second Amendment of the U.S. Constitution was not interpreted to grant an individual right to gun ownership. In 2008, a deeply divided U.S. Supreme Court, in District of Columbia v. Heller, held that “the Second Amendment conferred an individual right to keep and bear arms,” and that this right “is not unlimited.” Courts have generally read Heller narrowly. A study of more than 1,150 challenges to gun control laws in the decade after Heller found that the laws – including assault weapons bans, mandatory background checks, safe storage requirements, and waiting periods – were upheld as constitutional over 90% of the time.

Federal Law

25. The federal government has demonstrated an unwillingness to adopt reasonable measures to prevent gun violence that have been effective in other countries and in certain states with strong gun control laws. Current laws are ineffective and, in some cases, hinder constructive action on the problem.

26. The last major federal gun control legislation was adopted over twenty years ago and the current regulatory framework is riddled with loopholes:

- The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act, adopted in 1993, mandates background checks for some firearm purchases, but does not apply to gun sales occurring through private sellers, which account for 40% of all sales;
- For sales requiring a background check, 18 U.S.C. § 922(t)(1) provides that if the check is not completed in three days, the sale can automatically proceed;
- The Domestic Violence Offender Gun Ban of 1996 (the Lautenberg Amendment) prohibits some individuals who have been convicted of a “misdemeanor crime of domestic violence” from buying firearms, but does not apply to dating partners who are not married, have not lived together, or who do not share a child, or to abusers who victimize family other than an intimate partner or child, such as a parent or sibling. It also does not require convicted abusers to forfeit guns already in their possession; and
- In 2004, Congress allowed the 1994 Federal Assault Weapons Ban to expire, making it possible for private citizens to purchase assault-style rifles and high-capacity magazines.

27. Other measures have relaxed regulation of the firearm industry, created roadblocks for the agencies tasked with enforcement, and blocked research on gun violence prevention:

- Individuals who occasionally sell guns are outside the oversight of a regulatory body under the Gun Control Act of 1968.
• The **Firearms Owners’ Protection Act of 1986** prevents the federal government from maintaining a centralized database of gun dealer records and limits how many inspections the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) can conduct of a dealer’s premises without a warrant;

• Congress passed the **Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act** in 2005, which protects the gun industry from liability in most tort actions, giving the industry a level of protection from civil liability afforded to no other industry;

• Beginning in 2003, Congress has attached the **Tiahrt Amendments** to the annual U.S. Department of Justice appropriations bill. These Amendments prohibit gun trace data from being admissible as evidence in civil lawsuits against gun sellers or manufacturers (including in proceedings to revoke a corrupt dealer’s license). The Tiahrt Amendments also prohibit the release of firearms trace data, including to cities and states, researchers, and litigants, prohibit the ATF from requiring firearms dealers to submit their inventories to law enforcement, and require the FBI to destroy records of approved gun purchasers within 24 hours;

• The **Consumer Product Safety Act** makes guns one of the only U.S. manufactured consumer products not subject to federal health and safety regulations; and

• In 1996, Congress pushed through language in an annual appropriations bill that prohibited funds allocated for “injury prevention and control” at the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) to be used “to advocate or promote gun control.” In 2011, the prohibition was extended to include the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Known as the **Dickey Amendment**, this has led to a virtual ban on federally-funded research related to firearms and gun violence – decreasing annual CDC funding for gun violence research by 96%.

**State Law**

28. Some states have attempted to fill gaps in the federal regulatory scheme:

• Eleven states and the District of Columbia mandate comprehensive universal background checks for all sales and transfers of all classes of firearms at the point of sale;

• Thirteen states and the District of Columbia have some form of licensing or permitting requirement to purchase or own firearms – although half of these regulations apply only to handgun purchases;

• Seventeen states and the District of Columbia now have bills allowing for extreme risk protection orders (and proposals are pending in at least twenty other states);

• Seven states and the District of Columbia have adopted assault weapons bans and nine states have laws banning high-capacity ammunition magazines; and

• Four states have laws requiring firearms to be stored with a locking device in some situations. Three of these laws were adopted or strengthened in the past five years.

29. However, other states have moved in the opposite direction. Of the roughly 600 state gun laws enacted in the six years after the 2012 Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting, almost two-thirds loosened restrictions on gun ownership:

• Twelve states allow the permit-less concealed carry of loaded firearms in public spaces with no required training, and five of those states adopted such laws within the last three years.
• At least five states have legislation allowing the carrying of concealed guns in any public area of state and municipal buildings, including at public universities;\textsuperscript{61} and
• Eight states have laws either expressly allowing the concealed carry of firearms into K-12 schools or have no law prohibiting it.\textsuperscript{62}

30. The efforts that one state or city make are easily circumvented\textsuperscript{63} if an individual can travel to a location with less restrictive laws.\textsuperscript{64} These disparities highlight the need for federal action.

Mass Shootings

31. An average of one mass shooting occurs daily.\textsuperscript{65} Mass shootings take place with alarming frequency in U.S. neighborhoods, schools, places of worship, offices, theaters, and restaurants, and at concerts and political gatherings. This violates the right to life and security of person, and interferes with the right to practice one's religion, to association and assembly, to expression and opinion, and to participate in the cultural life of the community, and have a demonstrated psychological effect on survivors and related communities\textsuperscript{66} that may amount to ill-treatment.

32. More than 80\% of the weapons used in mass shootings have been legally purchased\textsuperscript{67} and nearly half of the shooters exhibit warning signs before the attack.\textsuperscript{68} Yet there is no federal law allowing for extreme risk protection orders to temporarily remove firearms or prohibit gun purchases from an individual who poses a threat, and universal background checks are not required for all gun purchases, even though research shows that this could reduce firearm-related violence.\textsuperscript{69}

33. After Congress allowed the Federal Assault Weapons Ban\textsuperscript{70} to expire in 2004, AR-15 style assault rifles similar to those previously banned have been used in many mass shootings, and have generally been purchased legally.\textsuperscript{71} When “high-capacity magazines – or assault weapons likely equipped with them” are used in a mass shooting, 155\% more people are shot and 47\% more people die.\textsuperscript{72}

International Human Rights Recommendations:

34. In 2016, the U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights stated that:

It is hard to find a rational justification that explains the ease with which people can buy firearms, including assault rifles, in spite of prior criminal backgrounds, drug use, histories of domestic violence and mental illness, or direct contact with extremists – both domestic and foreign. . . . . How many more mass killings of school-children, of co-workers, of African-American churchgoers . . . will it take before the United States adopts robust gun regulation?\textsuperscript{73}

Gun Violence against Women

35. Women in the United States are twenty-one times more likely to be killed with a gun than in other high-income countries,\textsuperscript{74} usually as a result of domestic violence. More than half of intimate partner homicides are committed with a gun. Women are five times more likely to be killed if their abuser owns a firearm and the use of a gun during a domestic violence assault makes death twelve times more likely.\textsuperscript{75} A woman is fatally shot by a former or current romantic partner every sixteen hours in the United States.\textsuperscript{76} Nearly 75\% of domestic shooting death victims are the current wives or girlfriends of the shooters.\textsuperscript{77} This violates the right of women to equal enjoyment of their human rights, including the right to life and security of person.

36. Gaps in the Lautenberg Amendment allow many convicted abusers and stalkers to legally purchase and/or possess firearms. Furthermore, no federal law requires states or local governments
to establish a procedure for the **surrender of firearms by convicted abusers**. Often, even people who are legally prohibited from gun ownership due to domestic violence convictions are permitted to purchase firearms as a result of poor oversight and weak enforcement, or can circumvent prohibitions by buying in the private market without having to undergo a background check under the **Brady Act**.

*International Human Rights Recommendations:*

37. In the first cycle, it was recommended that the United States “effectively combat violence against women and gun violence” and in the second cycle there was a recommendation related to tackling domestic violence. The United States supported both recommendations.

38. In 2011, the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women, its Causes and Consequences, advised U.S. states to have “clear gun removal policies in domestic violence cases.” In 2014, the U.N. Human Rights Committee expressed concern at “the disparate impact of gun violence on women” and recommended “strict enforcement” of the Lautenberg Amendment. In 2016, the U.N. Working Group on the Issue of Discrimination Against Women in Law and in Practice criticized the loopholes in the Lautenberg Amendment and recommended that the United States “[amend] gun control laws to effectively protect women against gun violence.” Also in 2016, the U.N. Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent stated that it was “disturbed at the persistent fatal consequences for women of the lack of gun control, particularly in cases of domestic violence.”

**Children and Gun Violence**

39. Children are uniquely affected by U.S. gun violence, in violation of the special protections afforded to them by international law. Ninety-one percent of global firearm deaths among children aged 0-14 occur in the United States. Firearm injuries are the second leading cause of death among children and adolescents (aged 1-19) and accidental firearm deaths are one of the top seven causes of unintentional deaths for children aged 1-14. Although unintentional firearm deaths account for less than 2% of all U.S. firearm deaths, they account for 26% among children and adolescents. An estimated 3 million children witness gun violence annually.

40. About one-third of U.S. households with children have a gun. In nearly half, the guns are not stored safely. Children often know where the guns are and many handle them without their parents’ knowledge. Most unintentional child firearm deaths occur at home when children are playing with a gun or confuse it as a toy. Child firearm suicide rates increased 60% from 2007-2014 and about 75% of adolescent firearm suicides involved a parent’s gun.

41. There are no federal laws mandating the use of **safe storage** in households with children, nor are there federal guidelines on the manufacture of these products. Safe storage helps prevent child suicides, accidental firearm deaths, and the use of a parent or caregiver’s gun against others. Age limits, particularly those restricting gun possession to the age of 21, have been shown to decrease firearm suicides and unintentional firearm deaths, yet federal law allows individuals to possess handguns at 18 and places no limitations on the age at which one can possess a long-gun, such as a rifle.

---

a11 Recommendation 167, from the Islamic Republic of Iran.

a16 Recommendation 255, from Israel.
International Human Rights Recommendations:

42. In 2014, the Human Rights Committee expressed concern at “the disparate impact of gun violence on … children.” The Committee on the Rights of the Child has also urged States “to take all necessary measures to ensure that children [do] not have access to small arms.”

School Shootings

43. School shootings, particularly in public, state-run schools, have become a common occurrence and victimize a population that is often young and legally required to attend school. This violates the right to education and the consequences may amount to ill-treatment. There is an average of one school shooting per week in which someone is hurt or killed (not including the shooter). Fifty-seven percent of U.S. teenagers fear a school shooting and in the 2018-19 academic year, more than 4.1 million U.S. children experienced at least one school lockdown; “on a typical day … at least 16 campuses locked down, nine related to gun violence or the threat of it.” Mass school shootings have also become increasingly common.

44. The median age of school shooters is sixteen (too young to buy a firearm in any state) and research shows that in most school shootings, the gun used was taken from the shooter’s home or that of a relative. Yet safe firearm storage in homes with children is not federally mandated, is only required in four states, and there are rarely legal consequences for the owner of the gun used in the attack.

45. The proposals typically advanced following school shootings do little to diminish their negative psychological effects and instead often exacerbate them. Increasing numbers of students are required to take part in active shooter drills, which are implemented with varying degrees of realism. Students often do not know whether they are experiencing a drill or a real active shooter. One CBS News report describes a particularly disturbing drill:

Police are invited into schools to act as ‘perpetrators’ wearing black face masks, shooting off blanks that simulate gunshots, stalking students and ‘shooting’ them with air guns to create victims with fake blood. To make the situation as real, and chaotic, as possible, they’re accompanied by emergency teams.

These drills inflict fear and trauma on an already vulnerable population and, like increasing the number of armed individuals on school grounds, have not been shown to be effective.

46. Two recommendations made in the second cycle related to the right to an adequate education.

47. The U.N. Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education and the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Racism Violence have expressed concern about violence in U.S. schools. In 2016, the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent recommended that “policing in schools should be abolished,” citing the harmful consequences that accompany such practices, which are often pushed as a response to school shootings.

International Human Rights Recommendations:

48. About 35% of global firearm suicide deaths in 2016 occurred in the United States. Suicide is the tenth leading cause of U.S. deaths and child firearm suicide rates have drastically increased in
recent years. Most suicides in the United States occur with a gun. The availability of a firearm is a crucial factor in whether a suicide will be attempted and whether it will be fatal – 82.5% of attempted suicides with firearms result in death.

49. Despite this, there is no federally mandated waiting period for gun purchases. Instead, suicidal persons have almost immediate access to the deadliest method available. There is also no federal legislation permitting extreme risk protection orders, which have proved successful at preventing suicides, or safe storage laws, which could prevent individuals, particularly children, from accessing someone else’s gun for a suicide attempt.

International Human Rights Recommendations:

50. The European Court of Human Rights, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, and the Human Rights Committee have held that a State’s obligation to protect extends to the prevention of suicide.

Police Violence

51. Nearly 1,000 people are killed by police officers annually and over 90% of these deaths are by firearms. Individuals 29 years old or younger and people of Black, Hispanic, and Native American backgrounds are disproportionately killed by police. Black men, in particularly, face a 1 in 1,000 chance of being killed by a police officer in their lifetime. Most police shootings are found to be justified as a lawful use of force by the criminal justice system and charges are rarely brought against the officers involved.

International Human Rights Recommendations:

52. Five recommendations on the use of force by law enforcement officials and the need for accountability were made in the first UPR cycle. These were followed by 20 recommendations in the second cycle underlining the impact of police violence on minorities and calling for additional training.

53. Article 3 of the Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officers provides that “[l]aw enforcement officials may use force only when strictly necessary” and the commentary adds that “the use of firearms is considered an extreme measure.”

54. The Human Rights Committee, the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent, and the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions have recommended that the U.S. government ensure compliance with international standards on the use of force. The Committee against Torture has called for excess use of force by U.S. law enforcement officials to be “independently, promptly and thoroughly investigated” and for perpetrators to be “prosecuted and appropriately punished.” The issue of U.S. police violence has also been highlighted by the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, the Special Rapporteur on Racism, the Special Rapporteur on Migrants, and the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination.

The Racially Discriminatory Nature of Gun Violence

55. Communities of color, and especially black Americans, are disproportionately affected by U.S. gun violence, violating the right to be free from discrimination on account of race. Although

---

xvi Recommendations: 105, 144, 150-151, 209.

compromising only 14% of the U.S. population, black Americans represent 56.7% of U.S. gun homicide victims.\textsuperscript{135} The disparity is particularly prevalent in urban areas\textsuperscript{134} and for black males.\textsuperscript{135}

56. African American youth are also disproportionately victimized. Black children are nearly ten times more likely,\textsuperscript{136} the young black population aged 15-29 is eighteen times more likely,\textsuperscript{137} and young black women are six times more likely to become gun homicide victims\textsuperscript{138} than their white peers. Likewise, black students make up only 16.6% of the school population but experience school shootings at twice that rate.\textsuperscript{139}

57. \textit{“Stand Your Ground” laws} play a role in how U.S. communities of color experience gun violence and have serious implications on the right to life and security, as well as the right to remedy and redress. These laws expand the common law “castle doctrine” to allow a person to use deadly force for self-defense in areas where they are lawfully permitted to be, including public spaces, regardless of whether the other person is armed.\textsuperscript{140} Until 2005, only two states had these laws; today, they are in force in 28 states and appear to be fueling an increase in firearm homicide rates.\textsuperscript{141} Race is a significant factor in whether an attack is deemed to be legally justified.\textsuperscript{142}

\textit{International Human Rights Recommendations:}

58. In the first cycle, several relevant recommendations were made, including three on the need to review discriminatory laws and practices,\textsuperscript{xviii} three to the excessive use of force by law enforcement officials against individuals of color,\textsuperscript{six} and one on racial inequities in education.\textsuperscript{xx}

59. In the second cycle, 34 recommendations addressed racial discrimination,\textsuperscript{xxi} including on the need for accountability for racially motivated violence and to reform discriminatory aspects of the criminal justice system, and on the discriminatory nature of police violence.

60. In 2014, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and the Human Rights Committee expressed concern “at the high number of gun-related deaths and injuries which disproportionately affect members of racial and ethnic minorities, particularly African Americans.”\textsuperscript{xxii} In 2016, the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent stated that the United States was “not acting with due diligence to protect the rights of African Americans, as evidenced by the lack of gun control and the stand-your-ground laws[...].”\textsuperscript{xxiii} Likewise, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination has described Stand Your Ground laws as a way to “circumvent the limits of legitimate self-defence, in violation of the State Party’s duty to protect life”\textsuperscript{xxiv} and the Human Rights Committee called on the United States to “[r]eview the Stand Your Ground laws to remove far-reaching immunity and ensure strict adherence to the principles of necessity and proportionality when using deadly force in self-defence.”\textsuperscript{xxv}

\textbf{Federal Laws Impede Gun Violence Research}

61. Guns are one of the only sources of death in the United States for which the fatality rate has not decreased in recent years and gunshots are one of the only traumatic injuries for which fatality rates have increased.\textsuperscript{147} Mortality rates from firearm violence have increased since the 1950s, yet few regulations or research studies have focused on firearms, largely as a consequence of the \textbf{Dickey Amendment} and its effect on the research of federal agencies such as the CDC and NIH.\textsuperscript{148} More research could lead to a better understanding of what interventions are likely to work in specific

\textsuperscript{xviii} Recommendations: 64, 96, 106.
\textsuperscript{six} Recommendations: 105, 151, 209.
\textsuperscript{xx} Recommendation: 67.
\textsuperscript{xxi} Recommendations: 90-95, 118-121, 123-125, 130-131, 133-137, 139-152.
environments and could lead to innovations in firearm technology to decrease the risk of gun ownership.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

62. The United States government should:
   
i. Adopt federal legislation requiring a license for the possession of all firearms and a permit for each firearm purchase. Licensing should require a background check, including mental health history, and safety training, and be renewed regularly. Permits should be limited in duration and valid for the purchase of only one firearm;
   
ii. Adopt federal legislation to reduce gun violence, including an assault weapons ban and limits on magazine capacity; a mandatory waiting period for firearm purchases; safe storage requirements; laws enabling judges to issue extreme risk protection orders; and raise the minimum age of purchase for all firearms to 21 years old;
   
iii. Close gaps in current legislation by passing the Enhanced Background Checks Act of 2019 to remove the loophole which allows a sale to be completed if the background check is not completed in three days; passing the Bipartisan Background Checks Act of 2019, which would close the private gun transaction loophole; and amending the Lautenberg Amendment to prohibit all domestic abusers and stalkers from buying and possessing guns and require the forfeiture of firearms currently in their possession once convicted;
   
iv. Fully fund agencies that oversee the firearm industry, enforce the laws, and conduct research and remove restrictions on these agencies and special protection for the firearm industry. This should include repealing the Dickey Amendment, the Tiahrt Amendments, and the Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act, revising the Firearms Owners’ Protection Act of 1986 to allow the ATF to conduct regular compliance checks of gun dealers and to create a searchable database of gun sales, and making firearms subject to federal health and safety regulations;
   
v. Tackle the use of fatal force by law enforcement officials by requiring de-escalation, bias, and crisis intervention training, mandating the independent investigation of all officer involved shootings, such as with Independent Citizens Review Boards, and ensuring accountability for the unlawful use of force by police officers. Adopt revised guidelines on when officers are allowed to use lethal force and demand compliance with international standards on the use of force;
   
vi. Tackle biases in the criminal justice system and enforcement of the law that provide impunity for the killing of individuals of color, including by prohibiting stand your ground laws, which allow private citizens to deprive others of life and disproportionately harm African Americans; and
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